arXiv:0807.4355v1 [astro-ph] 28 Jul 2008

Exact gravitational lensing by cosmic strings with junctions

Teruaki Suyama

Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8582, Japan

Abstract

We point out that the results by Brandenberger et al. in Phys.Rev.D77:083502(2008) that the geometry around the straight cosmic strings with stationary junctions is flat to linear order in the string tension can be immediately extended to any order.

1 Introduction

Cosmic strings may be produced in the early universe. If these strings are fundamental strings, F-strings, or D1-branes, D-strings, which are generic end products of the brane inflation, these strings can combine to form bound states of p F-strings and q D-strings, (p,q)-strings [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. When two (p,q)-strings intersect, a junction is formed [6, 7, 8, 9].

In [10], the authors gave a simple geometrical prescription to obtain the location of images of the source lensed by the strings with a junction. In [11], the explicit form of the metric around the strings with stationary junctions was provided within the linear perturbation. It was also shown that, like in the case of an infinite straight string [12, 13], the space-time is locally flat and has deficit angles around the strings determined by the string tensions.

In this short note, we point out that the flatness of the geometry can be shown without invoking a perturbative expansion.

2 Flatness of the geometry

We start from the action of the strings with junctions

$$S = -\sum_{A=1}^{N} \mu_A \int d^2\sigma_A \sqrt{-\gamma_A}. \tag{1}$$

Here N is the total number of the strings, $\sigma_A^a (a = 0, 1)$ is the two-dimensional coordinate on the A-th string worldsheet and

$$\gamma_{Aab} \equiv g_{\mu\nu}(X_A) \frac{\partial X_A^\mu}{\partial \sigma_A^a} \frac{\partial X_A^\nu}{\partial \sigma_A^b}, \tag{2}$$

is the induced metric on the A-th string. μ_A is the tension of the A-th string. The strings are assumed to be infinitely thin. Taking the variation of S with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ yields the energy-momentum tensor of the strings, which is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = -\sum_{A=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_A}{\sqrt{-g(x)}} \int d^2\sigma_A \sqrt{-\gamma_A} \gamma_A^{ab} \frac{\partial X_A^\mu}{\partial \sigma_A^a} \frac{\partial X_A^\nu}{\partial \sigma_A^b}\ \delta^{(4)}(x - X_A). \tag{3}$$

We are interested in the metric around the static strings with junctions. For the junction to be static, the forces exerted on the junction from attached strings must balance, which we will assume hereafter. Derivation of the force balance condition will be given later. The static condition implies that there exists a coordinate system in which $g_{0i} = 0$ and the other metric components do not depend on t. As the metric around the strings, we use the following ansatz:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + h_{ij}(\vec{x}) dx^i dx^j. \tag{4}$$

g_{00} is not perturbed by the strings, which was explicitly shown at the linearized level [11]. Here we assume that this holds in fully non-linear treatment, which will turn out to be consistent with basic equations.

Let us choose the time coordinate on each worldsheet as $\sigma_A^0 = t$, i.e. $X_A^0(\sigma_A) = \sigma_A^0$. Then the static condition means that $X^i(\sigma_A)$ is independent of σ_A^0. As for the spatial coordinate, we take σ_A^1 so that it represents the length of the corresponding string, which gives $\left(d\sigma_A^1\right)^2 = h_{ij} dX_A^i dX_A^j$. In these worldsheet coordinates, the induced metrics are given by

$$\gamma_{A00} = -1, \quad \gamma_{A01} = 0, \quad \gamma_{A11} = 1. \tag{5}$$

Let us first consider the Einstein equations,

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G S_{\mu\nu} \equiv 8\pi G \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} T \right). \tag{6}$$

Substituting Eqs. (4) and (5) into Eq. (3) yields $S_{0\mu} = 0$. From the metric (4), we find $R_{0\mu} = 0$ for arbitrary $h_{ij}(\vec{x})$. Hence $0 - \mu$ components of the Einstein equations are automatically satisfied. Meanwhile, $i - j$ components of the Einstein equations give equations for $h_{ij}(\vec{x})$,

$$R^{(3)}_{ij} = 8\pi G S_{ij}, \tag{7}$$

where $R^{(3)}_{ij}$ is the Ricci tensor calculated from the three-dimensional metric $h_{ij}(\vec{x})$. At the linear order, expanding the spatial metric as $h_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \delta h_{ij}$, the solution of the equation (7) can be written as $\delta h_{ij}(\vec{x}) = -4G \int d^3y S_{ij}(\vec{y}) / |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|$, which agrees with the results in [11]. Solving the equations (7) for $h_{ij}(\vec{x})$ beyond the linear approximation is cumbersome. However, the knowledge of the explicit expression for $h_{ij}(\vec{x})$ is not necesarry for our argument and we skip solving Eq. (7).

The Riemann tensor $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ for the metric (4) is given by $R_{\mu\nu\lambda 0} = 0$, $R_{ijk\ell} = R^{(3)}_{ijk\ell}$, where $R^{(3)}_{ijk\ell}$ is the Riemann tensor for h_{ij}. In three-dimensional space, the Riemann tensor is completely written by the Ricci tensor,

$$R^{(3)}_{ijk\ell} = -2 \left(h_{i[\ell} R^{(3)}{}_{k]j} + h_{j[k} R^{(3)}{}_{\ell]i} - R^{(3)} h_{i[k} h_{\ell]j} \right), \tag{8}$$

where $[\cdots]$ means the anti-symmetrization with respect to the indices in the bracket. Outside the strings, $R^{(3)}_{ij}$ vanishes from the Einstein equations (7), which gives $R^{(3)}_{ijk\ell} = 0$. Therefore the space-time is flat around the strings.

We have implicitly assumed that the strings are straight and force balanced. Here we show that these assumptions are validated from an equation of motion for the strings and a boundary condition at the junction. Variation of Eq. (1) with respect to X_A^μ yields,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_A^a} \left(\sqrt{-\gamma_A} \gamma_A^{ab} \frac{\partial X_A^\mu}{\partial \sigma_A^b} \right) + \sqrt{-\gamma_A} \gamma_A^{ab} \Gamma^\mu{}_{\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial X_A^\lambda}{\partial \sigma_A^a} \frac{\partial X_A^\rho}{\partial \sigma_A^b} = 0, \tag{9}$$

with the boundary condition

$$\sum_{A'} \mu_{A'} \sqrt{-\gamma_{A'}}\; n^a_{A'} \frac{\partial X^\mu_{A'}}{\partial \sigma^a_{A'}}\bigg|_{\text{junction}} = 0, \tag{10}$$

for each junction [#1]. Here n^a_A is the unit vector normal to the world-line of the junction and A' means that we take the sum only for strings attached to the junction we are considering. Imposing the static condition, the equation (9) becomes

$$\frac{d^2 X^i_A(\sigma^1_A)}{d\sigma^1_A d\sigma^1_A} + \Gamma^i{}_{jk} \frac{dX^j_A(\sigma^1_A)}{d\sigma^1_A} \frac{dX^k_A(\sigma^1_A)}{d\sigma^1_A} = 0, \tag{11}$$

which is the geodesic equation in the three dimensional space. Because the space is locally flat, the straight configuration of the strings is a solution of the equations. The boundary condition becomes

$$\sum_{A'} \mu_{A'} \frac{dX^i_{A'}}{d\sigma^1_{A'}}\bigg|_{\text{junction}} = 0, \tag{12}$$

which is exactly the force balance condition. To maintain the static condition, the force balance condition must be satisfied. Note that the force balance condition can be also derived from the conservation law $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$.

The space-time is not globally flat and has conical structure. The deficit angle Δ_A around the A-th string can be written as $\Delta_A = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\text{plane}} d^2x \sqrt{g^{(2)}} R^{(2)}$, where the metric and the Ricci scalar in the integral are defined on the two-dimensional plane perpendicular to the string. After the use of the Einstein equations to replace $R^{(2)}$ with the energy-momentum tensor of the string, the well-known result $\Delta_A = 8\pi G\mu_A$ is obtained [11, 12, 13].

We have considered the static configurations. The extension to the stationary case where all the strings move with a constant velocity can be obtained by the boost transformation from the static coordinate. Because all the components of the Riemann tensor vanish in the static coordinate, they do in the stationary coordinate. Therefore, the geometry is also flat for the stationary configurations.

[#1] The boundary condition can be derived as follows. For simplicity, we assume that there is only one junction in the string network (Extension to the case of multi-junctions is trivial). We first attach a point mass of its mass m to the junction. Denoting the coordinate of the point mass as Z^μ, we have to add the terms

$$-m \int_{\text{junc}} d\tau - \sum_A \int_{\text{junc}} d\tau\; \lambda_{A\mu} \left(X^\mu_A(\sigma_A(\tau)) - Z^\mu(\tau) \right),$$

to Eq. (1), where τ is the proper time and $\sigma_A(\tau)$ denotes the world-sheet coordinate of the junction. $X^\mu_A(\sigma_A(\tau)) = Z^\mu(\tau)$ are the constraints that the strings are attached to the point mass. $\lambda_{A\mu}$ are the Lagrange multipliers. Because no mass point is assumed to be attached to the junction in the main text, we have to take the limit $m \to 0$. By taking the limit $m \to 0$ in the equation of motion for Z^μ, we obtain a relation $\sum_A \lambda_{A\mu} = 0$. Substitution of this relation into the boundary conditions which are derived from the variation of X^μ_A yields Eq. (10).

3 Conclusion

We showed, without invoking the perturbative expansion, that the geometry around the stationary strings with junctions is flat, which is a non-linear generalization of [11].

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank Masahiro Kawasaki for useful comments.

References

[1] G. Dvali and A. Vilenkin, JCAP **0403**, 010 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0312007].

[2] E. J. Copeland, R. C. Myers and J. Polchinski, JHEP **0406**, 013 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0312067].

[3] L. Leblond and S. H. H. Tye, JHEP **0403**, 055 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0402072].

[4] H. Firouzjahi, L. Leblond and S. H. Henry Tye, JHEP **0605**, 047 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603161].

[5] K. Dasgupta, H. Firouzjahi and R. Gwyn, JHEP **0704**, 093 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702193].

[6] M. G. Jackson, N. T. Jones and J. Polchinski, JHEP **0510**, 013 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405229].

[7] E. J. Copeland, H. Firouzjahi, T. W. B. Kibble and D. A. Steer, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 063521 (2008) [arXiv:0712.0808 [hep-th]].

[8] N. Bevis and P. M. Saffin, arXiv:0804.0200 [hep-th].

[9] A. Rajantie, M. Sakellariadou and H. Stoica, JCAP **0711**, 021 (2007) [arXiv:0706.3662 [hep-th]].

[10] B. Shlaer and M. Wyman, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 123504 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0509177].

[11] R. Brandenberger, H. Firouzjahi and J. Karouby, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 083502 (2008) [arXiv:0710.1636 [hep-th]].

[12] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 852 (1981).

[13] J. R. I. Gott, Astrophys. J. **288**, 422 (1985).